PATENT COOPERATION TREAT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

Draggett, Peter SMITH & NEPHEW York Science Park Hestington, York YO10 5DF GRANDE BRETAGNE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

20.12.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PTD/JPS/2696PC

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004549

28.10.2004

International filing date (day/month/year)

28.10.2003

Applicant

SMITH & NEPHEW PLC ET AL.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4 REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of povelty, inventive step. and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Palentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx; 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Viegas da Cruz, I

Tel. +31 70 340-1923



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	Applicant's or agent's file reference PTD/JPS/2696PC FOR FUR		FOR FURTHER ACT	TION	See Form PCT/PEA/416				
International application No. International filin PCT/GB2004/004549 28.10.2004		International filing date (di 28.10.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.10.2003					
	emational Patent Clas 31M3/02, A61M1/0		rnational classification and IPC D, A61F13/00						
	plicant AITH & NEPHEW	PLC ET AL.							
This report is the international preliminary examination rep Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant.				ort, established by	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.				
2.	This REPORT c	onsists of a total	al of 7 sheets, including this	cover sheet.					
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:								
	a, Dent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:								
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
	☐ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in Item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
	sequence	to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrior(s)) , containing a noce listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental elating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion						
	☐ Box No. II	Priority	,						
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability				
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention						
	☐ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	🖾 Box No. VI	Certain docur	nents cited						
	☐ Box No. VII	/II Certain defects in the international application							
	☐ Box No. VIII	III Certain observations on the international application							
Date	e of submission of the	demand		Date of completion o	f this report				
21.	21.04.2005			20.12.2005					
Nan	ne and mailing addres	ss of the internati	onal A	Authorized Officer	.parinop.				
pren	European NL-2280 H	Patent Office - P. IV Rijswijk - Pays	B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 Bas	akkis, A					
	Fax: +31.7	0 340 - 2040 Tx. 3 0 340 - 3016		elenhone No ±31.7	m 240 14136				

Telephone No. +31 70 340- 4136

Box No. I Basis of the report

1.	 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 						
	☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rules 55.2 and by 5.3 and 5.3 (b)) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and br 55.3)						
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report).						
	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-56		as originally filed				
	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	1-10		as originally filed				
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/9-9/9		as originally filed				
		a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
4.	□ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheetsfigs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): * If item 4 arolies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."						
	-	it inem 4 appites, so	me or arr or these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
		ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international appl	he entire international application,				
[☐ claims Nos. 10	claims Nos. 10				
	because:	because:				
[the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
ĺ		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
(the claims, or said claims N could be formed. 	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
C	no international search repo	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 10				
[the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
0		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
E	☐ See separate sheet for furth	er detai	Is			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

 Novelty (N)
 Yes: Claims 1-9

 Inventive step (IS)
 Yes: Claims 1-9

 Industrial applicability (IA)
 Yes: Claims 1-9

 No: Claims
 1-9

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

Rules 39.1(iv) and 67.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy

Re Item V.

- The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: FR 1 163 907 A (WALTER PAUL) 2 October 1958 (1958-10-02)
 - D2: DE 198 44 355 A1 (SACHSE, RAINER E) 6 April 2000 (2000-04-06)
 - D3: WO 00/07653 A (HILL-ROM, INC; HENLEY, ALAN, WAYNE; MOSES, LEIGH, MARIE: SANDERSON, RO) 17 February 2000 (2000-02-17)
 - D4: WO 02/092783 A (CHILDREN'S MEDICAL CENTER CORPORATION) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)

INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Note that the term "materials that are deleterious to wound healing (from wound exudate)" is very broad so that any part of the wound exudate or the totality of it can be considered to fall under this term.

Document D1 (page 1, figures) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) all the technical features of claim 1:

An apparatus for cleansing wounds, comprising a conformable wound dressing having a backing layer (4, 9) and further comprises cleansing means (3) for selectively removing materials that are deleterious to wound healing from wound exudate and a moving device (6, 10) for moving fluid (air and/or wound secretions) within the cleansing means.

Note that D2 (cleansing means 3), D3 (cleansing means 110) and D4 (cleansing means 110), for complete citations see the search report, also disclose all technical features of claim 1.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9

Dependent claims 2-9 such as drafted (see clarity issues under VIII) do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT). See the documents and passages cited in the search report.

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

The priority documents pertaining to the present application were not available at the time of establishing this opinion. Hence, it is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is not correct, document WO2004/037334 could become relevant.

Re Item VIII.

Clarity issues (Article 6 PCT):

Claim 1 contains an optional feature (bleed means) which has no limiting effect on the scope of the claim. The same applies to the optional features of claims 2, 3, 7 (optionally mixed with).

Some of the features in the apparatus claims 2 ("passes"), 3 ("is/are moved"), 4 and 5 ("is operated as") relate to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. For the present preliminary examination they have been interpreted as "can pass, can be moved, can be operated", respectively.

Claims 4, 5: the term "the relevant fluid" is not properly defined.

Claim 9 contains a disclaimer ("is not an integer...") which renders it unclear.